



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09 855,806	05/16/2001	Masami Akimoto	OMY-010	1549

23353 7590 06/10/2002

RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC  
LION BUILDING  
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

CROWELL, ANNA M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1763

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/855,806

Applicant(s)

AKIMOTO ET AL.

Examiner

Michelle Crowell

Art Unit

1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1763

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 20-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somekh et al. (U.S. 6,110,011) in view of Hashimoto (Japanese Patent Publication 07-183299), Henley et al. (U.S. 6,153,524), and DeOrnellas (U.S. 5,672,239).

Referring to Figure 5 and column 6, lines 20-47, and column 7, lines 50-64, Somekh discloses a cluster tool apparatus used to deposit a metal layer by electrodeposition and then polish the layer by chemical mechanical polishing (CMP) in a reduced pressure environment. A central substrate-handling robot 18' (carrier in a reduced pressure environment) transfers the substrate between the electrodeposition stations 14 (conductive film forming chamber) where the conductive film copper (oxidization-prone film) is deposited on the substrate, the CMP apparatus 16' (polishing chamber), dual load lock chamber 80, rinse/clean/dry station 82 (cleaning chamber), and the metrology station 86. In the rinse/clean/dry station 82, a combination of a brush/scrub cleaner with a centrifugal drier or a rinse bath with an isopropyl alcohol (IPA) vapor drier may be used. Alternately, drying may occur in the load lock chamber 80 (drying chamber) using a heater 98 and air jets from nozzles 100.

Somekh fails to teach a CVD chamber, etch chamber, resist removing chamber, an insulating film having a recessed portion, and a plurality of drying chambers.

Art Unit: 1763

Referring to the abstract, constitution, and Figure 1, Hashimoto teaches a groove (recess) formed in an insulating film 2 of a silicon substrate 1. Next, a copper film 4 is deposited over the insulating film to embed the groove. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the substrate of Somekh to have an insulating film with groove as taught by Hashimoto. It is standard procedure to form fine copper wirings by embedding a copper film in a groove of an insulating film.

Referring to Figure 3 and column 11, lines 2-9, lines 20-25, Henley teaches that it is known to use a CVD chamber and an etch chamber in a multiprocessing apparatus. The CVD chamber can deposit a variety of films and the etching chamber can etch a desired substrate layer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus of Somekh with a CVD chamber and etching chamber as taught by Henley. This would allow substrate to have a film deposited or etched.

Referring to Figure 2 and column 4, lines 9-11, DeOrnellas teaches a strip module 24 (resist removing chamber) which uses oxygen plasma to strip the photoresist on the wafer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus of Somekh with the strip module as taught by DeOrnellas. This would allow the photoresist film to be removed after substrate processing.

Claim 8 only differs from Somekh in reciting that there is a plurality of drying chambers while Somekh only teaches one drying chamber. It is well settled that the mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus of Somekh with a plurality of drying chambers.

Art Unit: 1763

3. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somekh et al. (U.S. 6,110,011) in view of Hashimoto (Japanese Patent Publication 07-183299), Henley et al. (U.S. 6,153,524) and DeOrnellas (U.S. 5,672,239) as applied to claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 20-22 above, and further in view of Watanabe et al. (U.S. 6,146,135).

Somekh in view of Hashimoto, Henley and DeOrnellas fails to teach the drying chamber using inert gas.

Referring to column 2, lines 43-55, Watanabe teaches that a non-reactive (inert) gas drier may be used to dry a silicon wafer by blowing a gas over the wafer surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the drying chamber of Somekh in view of Henley and Hashimoto with an inert gas as taught by Watanabe. This would ensure that the gas does not react with the wafer.

4. Claims 12 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somekh et al. (U.S. 6,110,011) in view of Hashimoto (Japanese Patent Publication 07-183299), Henley et al. (U.S. 6,153,524) and DeOrnellas (U.S. 5,672,239) as applied to claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-16, and 20-22 above, and further in view of Soraoka et al. (U.S. 5,855,726).

Somekh in view of Hashimoto, Henley and DeOrnellas fails to show that a first, second and third substrate carriers.

Regarding Claims 12, 17, and 18

Referring to Figure 3 and column 5, lines 31-61, Soraoka shows an atmospheric transfer robot 9 (first substrate carrier) that moves along rail 92 to transfer samples from cassettes 12A-D to load lock chambers 4 and 5. In addition, vacuum transfer robot 10 (third substrate carrier) moves samples from the load chambers 4 and 5 to the processing chamber 6 and post-treating

Art Unit: 1763

chamber 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the processing chambers of Somekh in view of Henley and Hashimoto with the atmospheric transfer robot and vacuum transfer robot as shown by Soraoka. This would allow wafers to be transferred to/from a processing chamber.

Claim 12 only differs from Soraoka in reciting that there is a two atmospheric substrate carriers while Somekh only teaches one atmospheric substrate carrier. It is well settled that the mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus of Somekh with two atmospheric substrate carriers. This would increase throughput.

Claim 19 only differs from Soraoka in reciting that there is two substrate carriers at reduced pressure while Somekh only teaches one substrate carrier at reduced pressure. It is well settled that the mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the apparatus of Somekh with two substrate carriers at reduced pressure. This would increase throughput.

### ***Conclusion***

5 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Crowell whose telephone number is (703) 305-1956.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 - 4:30).

Art Unit: 1763

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Mills can be reached on (703) 308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

AMC *[Signature]*  
June 4, 2002

*[Signature]*  
GREGORY MILLS  
SUPERVISOR  
(703) 308-1633